United Nations A/70/331

General Assembly

Distr.: General
19 August 2015

Original: English

**Seventieth session**
Item 147 of the provisional agenda*
**Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations**

# Implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236

## Report of the Secretary-General

*Summary*

In its resolution 55/235, the General Assembly reaffirmed the general principles underlying the financing of United Nations peacekeeping operations and adopted a new system of adjustments to the scale of assessments for the regular budget to be used in fixing rates of assessment applicable to peacekeeping operations. This system was based on the assignment of each Member State to 1 of 10 contribution levels using, among other criteria, its average per capita gross national product during the period 1993-1998. The Assembly requested the Secretary-General to update the composition of the contribution levels on a triennial basis, in conjunction with the reviews of the scale of assessments for the regular budget, in accordance with the criteria established in the resolution, and to report thereon to the Assembly.

At its sixty-fourth session, in its resolution 64/249, the General Assembly reaffirmed the principles set out in its resolutions 1874 (S-IV), 3101 (XXVIII) and 55/235. In addition, the Assembly recognized the concerns raised by Member States regarding the structure of the levels for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations and decided to review the structure of the levels with a view to a decision, if agreed, no later than at its sixty-seventh session.

At its sixty-seventh session, the General Assembly, in its resolution 67/239, reaffirmed the principles set out in its resolutions 1874 (S-IV), 3101 (XXVIII) and 55/235 and endorsed the updated composition of levels to be applied in adjusting regular budget scale rates to establish Member States' rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations for the period from 2013 to 2015. The Assembly also

* A/70/150.

15-14083 (E) 310815

Please recycle

recognized the need to reform the current methodology for apportioning the expenses of peacekeeping operations and decided to review the structure of the levels during its seventieth session.

Also by its resolution 67/239, the General Assembly requested the Secretary-General to continue updating the composition of the levels on a triennial basis, in conjunction with the regular budget scale of assessment reviews, in accordance with the established criteria, and to report thereon to the Assembly. The present report is submitted in response to that request and provides information on the updating of the composition of contribution levels for peacekeeping operations for the period 2016-2018. Information is provided on changes in the peacekeeping levels of Member States based on average per capita gross national income during the period 2008-2013. These are based on the data used by the Committee on Contributions in reviewing the scale of assessments for the period 2016-2018, which will be considered by the Assembly at its seventieth session.

Until the General Assembly has adopted a new scale, it will not be possible to determine the corresponding rates of assessment for peacekeeping for the period 2016-2018. Furthermore, any adjustments to the structure of contribution levels for peacekeeping operations, as may be decided upon by the Assembly at its seventieth session, would also need to be taken into account in determining the rates of assessment for peacekeeping. For illustrative purposes, however, on the basis of the existing structure of contribution levels, annex III shows the peacekeeping rates of assessment corresponding to the scale of assessments for the period 2016-2018 included for information in the report of the Committee on Contributions.

## Contents


| | | *Page* |
|---|---|---|
| I. | Introduction | 3 |
| II. | Composition of levels for the financing of peacekeeping operations | 5 |
| III. | Rates of assessment for the financing of peacekeeping operations | 7 |
| IV. | Conclusions | 8 |
| Annexes | | |
| I. | Peacekeeping levels, based on average per capita gross national income of Member States and other factors | 9 |
| II. | Implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236, 2016-2018 | 10 |
| III. | Effective rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations, 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2018, based on the results of the application of the methodology used in preparing the scale of assessments for the period 2013-2015 to gross national income data for the period 2008-2013 | 16 |

# I. Introduction

1. By its resolution 1874 (S-IV), the General Assembly established certain principles to be applied to the financing of peacekeeping operations. Subsequently, by its resolution 3101 (XXVIII), the Assembly made ad hoc arrangements for the financing of the United Nations Emergency Force based on those principles. These arrangements involved basing each Member State's rate of assessment for the Force on its rate of assessment for the regular budget, as adjusted on the basis of its assignment to one of four groups. Member States in groups C and D had their regular budget rates reduced by 80 and 90 per cent, respectively; those in group B paid at the same rate; and the permanent members of the Security Council, assigned to group A, paid the difference pro rata to their rates of assessment for the regular budget. This ad hoc formula was applied subsequently, subject to a number of changes over the years to the membership of groups B, C and D.

2. In its resolution 55/235, the General Assembly reaffirmed the principles set out in its resolutions 1874 (S-IV) and 3101 (XXVIII). The Assembly also reaffirmed the following general principles underlying the financing of United Nations peacekeeping operations:

(a) The financing of such operations is the collective responsibility of all States Members of the United Nations and, accordingly, the costs of peacekeeping operations are expenses of the Organization to be borne by Member States in accordance with Article 17, paragraph 2, of the Charter of the United Nations;

(b) In order to meet the expenditures caused by such operations, a different procedure is required from that applied to meet expenditures under the regular budget of the United Nations;

(c) Whereas the economically more developed countries are in a position to make relatively larger contributions to peacekeeping operations, the economically less developed countries have a relatively limited capacity to contribute towards peacekeeping operations involving heavy expenditures;

(d) The special responsibilities of the permanent members of the Security Council for the maintenance of peace and security should be borne in mind in connection with their contributions to the financing of peace and security operations;

(e) Where circumstances warrant, the General Assembly should give special consideration to the situation of any Member States which are victims of, and those which are otherwise involved in, the events or actions leading to a peacekeeping operation.

3. By its resolution 55/235, the General Assembly established a new system of adjustments to regular budget scale rates in determining Member States' rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations. The system was based on a number of criteria, including a comparison of the average per capita gross national product (GNP) of each Member State during the six-year base period used for preparing the scale of assessments with the corresponding average for all Member States. These criteria, which were set out in paragraph 10 of resolution 55/235, were used to place each Member State in one of 10 levels, from A to J. In that regard, the Assembly decided the following:

(a) Assessment rates for the financing of peacekeeping operations should be based on the scale of assessments for the regular budget of the United Nations, with an appropriate and transparent system of adjustments based on levels of Member States, consistent with the principles outlined above;

(b) The permanent members of the Security Council should form a separate level and, consistent with their special responsibilities for the maintenance of peace and security, should be assessed at a higher rate than for the regular budget;

(c) All discounts resulting from adjustments to the regular budget assessment rates of Member States in levels C through J should be borne on a pro rata basis by the permanent members of the Security Council;

(d) The least developed countries should be placed in a separate level and receive the highest rate of discount available under the scale;

(e) The statistical data used for setting the rates of assessment for peacekeeping should be the same as the data used in preparing the regular budget scale of assessments, subject to the provisions of resolution 55/235;

(f) Levels of discount should be created to facilitate automatic, predictable movement between categories on the basis of the per capita gross national product of Member States.

4. In establishing the system, the General Assembly decided, also by its resolution 55/235, that Member States would be assigned to the lowest level of contribution with the highest discount for which they were eligible, unless they indicated a decision to move to a higher level. Transitions for 2001-2003 specified by the Assembly in its resolution 55/236 would occur in equal increments over the transition period and, after 2001-2003, transition periods of two years would apply to countries moving up by two levels and transition periods of three years would apply to countries moving up by three levels or more. The Assembly requested the Secretary-General to update the composition of the levels on a triennial basis, in conjunction with the regular budget scale of assessment reviews, in accordance with the criteria established above, and to report thereon to the Assembly. Lastly, the Assembly decided that the structure of contribution levels to be implemented from 1 July 2001 would be reviewed after nine years.

5. In its resolution 55/236, the General Assembly welcomed decisions by a number of Member States to move voluntarily to higher levels than required under the criteria set out in paragraph 10 of its resolution 55/235.

6. In its resolution 61/243, the General Assembly recalled its decision in resolution 55/235 to review the structure of levels of contribution for peacekeeping operations after nine years and decided to carry out the review at its sixty-fourth session. At that session, the Assembly, in its resolution 64/249, reaffirmed the principles set out in its resolutions 1874 (S-IV), 3101 (XXVIII) and 55/235. The Assembly also endorsed the updated composition of levels to be applied in adjusting regular budget scale rates to establish Member States' rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations for the period 2010-2012, subject to the provisions of that resolution. In addition, the Assembly recognized the concerns raised by Member States, including Bahrain and the Bahamas, regarding the structure of the levels for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations and decided to review the structure of the levels with a view to a decision, if agreed, no later than at its sixty-seventh session.

7. At its sixty-seventh session, the General Assembly, in its resolution 67/239, reaffirmed the principles set out in its resolutions 1874 (S-IV), 3101 (XXVIII) and 55/235 and endorsed the updated composition of levels to be applied in adjusting regular budget scale rates to establish Member States' rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations for the period from 2013 to 2015. The Assembly also recognized the need to reform the current methodology for apportioning the expenses of peacekeeping operations and decided to review the structure of the levels during its seventieth session. By the same resolution, the Assembly requested the Secretary-General to continue updating the composition of the levels on a triennial basis, in conjunction with the regular budget scale of assessment reviews, in accordance with the established criteria, and to report thereon to the Assembly.

8. In previous reports on the implementation of resolutions 55/235 and 55/236,[1] the Secretary-General outlined his understanding of the provisions of the resolutions and how he intended to carry out his responsibilities under resolution 55/235. The present report reflects the understandings outlined in those earlier reports.

## II. Composition of levels for the financing of peacekeeping operations

9. The initial composition of the levels used for establishing rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations for the period from 1 July 2001 to 31 December 2003 was listed in the annex to resolution 55/235. The permanent members of the Security Council were included in level A. Member States on the list of the least developed countries were included in level J. Specified Member States were included in level C. The placement of other Member States was based on their average per capita GNP during the six-year base period (data for 1993-1998) used for the scale of assessments for 2001-2003 in relation to the average for all Member States. The thresholds applied were set out in the table below paragraph 10 of resolution 55/235. The initial placement of Member States in levels B and D to I was based on their average per capita GNP (renamed gross national income (GNI)) for the six-year base period 1993-1998 and the related average of all Member States for that period, which was $4,797.

10. Since 2001, the scale of assessments has been prepared using two base periods, of six and three years. On the basis of the provisions of resolution 55/235 and the practice adopted by the General Assembly for the composition of levels for the period 2001-2003, the Secretary-General has subsequently updated the composition of levels for the periods 2004-2006, 2007-2009, 2010-2012 and 2013-2015 using the average data on GNI for the six-year base period used by the Committee on Contributions in considering the scale of assessments for those periods.

11. The table below summarizes the base periods and the related average per capita GNI of all Member States that have been used in setting the composition of levels since 2001:

[1] See A/C.5/55/38 and Add.1, A/58/157 and Add.1, A/61/139 and Corr.1 and Add.1, A/64/220 and Add.1, and A/67/224 and Add.1.

| *Scale period* | *Six-year base period* | *Average per capita GNI for all Member states* |
|---|---|---|
| 2001-2003 | 1993-1998 | 4 797 |
| 2004-2006 | 1996-2001 | 5 094 |
| 2007-2009 | 1999-2004 | 5 518 |
| 2010-2012 | 2002-2007 | 6 708 |
| 2013-2015 | 2005-2010 | 8 338 |

12. The General Assembly has not so far decided on the elements of the methodology to be used in preparing the scale of assessments for the period 2016-2018. In the absence of specific guidance from the Assembly with regard to the new scale, the Committee on Contributions, at its seventy-fifth session, in 2015, decided to review the scale of assessments for the period 2016-2018 on the basis of its general mandate under rule 160 of the rules of procedure of the Assembly and the provisions of resolutions 58/1 B, 61/237 and 67/238. In doing so, it agreed on certain conclusions and recommendations with regard to the methodology, reviewed the data provided by the Statistics Division for the period 2008-2013, decided that the market exchange rates of a number of Member States should be adjusted and provided, for information, machine scales showing the results of applying to the GNI data for 2008-2013 the methodology used in preparing the scale of assessments for the period 2013-2015.

13. In updating the composition of levels of contribution for peacekeeping operations for the period 2016-2018, the Secretary-General was guided by the provisions of General Assembly resolutions 55/235, 55/236 and 67/239, his understanding of the mandates set out therein as outlined in his earlier reports and the practice adopted by the Assembly in fixing the composition of levels for earlier periods. Accordingly, data for the six-year period 2008-2013 were used in updating the composition of levels for the period 2016-2018, and the corresponding thresholds are shown in annex I to the present report. These are based on the provisions of resolution 55/235 and each Member State's average per capita GNI for 2008-2013 in relation to the membership average of $9,861.

14. In setting out his understanding of the implementation of resolutions 55/235 and 55/236 (see A/C.5/55/38, paras. 13 and 16), the Secretary-General indicated that, since there were no criteria specified for inclusion in level C, the countries listed under level C in the annex to resolution 55/235 would remain in level C at least until the review of the structure of levels at the main part of the sixty-fourth session of the General Assembly. At that session, the Assembly adopted resolution 64/249. On the basis of the understanding at the time of the adoption of that resolution, as an exception, the Bahamas and Bahrain were treated as level C contributors for the period 2010-2012.[2] By its resolution 67/239, the Assembly endorsed the updated composition of levels to be applied in adjusting regular budget scale rates to establish Member States' rates of assessment for peacekeeping

[2] Before the adoption of resolution 64/249, the Chair of the Fifth Committee and the President of the General Assembly stated that it was the understanding of the Assembly that, as an exception, the Bahamas and Bahrain would be treated as level C contributors for the scale of assessments for the period 2010-2012. See A/C.5/64/SR.22 and A/64/PV.68.

operations for the period 2013-2015.[3] For the period 2016-2018, the illustrative information set out in the present report reflects level C on the basis of the composition specified in the annex to resolution 55/235.

15. On the basis of the above, and prior to the application of any phasing or voluntary movements, Antigua and Barbuda would move down from level F to level G, Argentina would move up from level I to level G, Brazil would move up from level I to level H, Chile would move up from level H to level G, Croatia would move down from level F to level G, Hungary would move down from level F to level G, Latvia would move up from level G to level F, Mexico would move down from level H to level I, Nauru would move up from level I to level H, Saint Kitts and Nevis would move down from level F to level G, Samoa would move up from level J to level I (following its graduation from the group of least developed countries), Saudi Arabia would move up from level E to level B, Seychelles would move down from level G to level H, Trinidad and Tobago would move down from E to level F and Uruguay would move up from level I to level G.

16. Under the provisions of resolution 55/235, the upward movements of Argentina, Saudi Arabia and Uruguay are subject to the appropriate transitional periods. These have been applied in the manner outlined in the earlier report of the Secretary-General (A/C.5/55/38) and are reflected in annex II to the present report.

## III. Rates of assessment for the financing of peacekeeping operations

17. As reflected in annex II to the present report, the composition of levels of contribution for peacekeeping operations for 2016-2018 has been updated in accordance with the provisions of resolution 55/235. The updated composition of levels, subject to adjustments arising from the General Assembly's review of the structure of contribution levels, would be used together with the scale of assessments for 2016-2018 to establish each Member State's peacekeeping rate of assessment. The Assembly will consider the scale of assessments for the period 2016-2018 at its seventieth session. Until it has adopted a new scale, it will not be possible to determine the corresponding rates of assessment for peacekeeping for the period 2016-2018.

18. For illustrative purposes, however, annex III to the present report shows the peacekeeping rates of assessment (correct to four decimal places) corresponding to the scale of assessments for the period 2016-2018 included for information in the report of the Committee on Contributions (A/70/11, para. 98).

[3] At the time of the adoption of General Assembly resolution 67/239, the Chair of the Fifth Committee and the President of the General Assembly stated that it was the understanding of the Assembly that, on an exceptional basis for the 2013-2015 scale period only, three countries in level B, the Bahamas, Bahrain and Oman, would be afforded discounts of 7.5 per cent to their assessment rates, that Oman would forgo its transition period and that those discounts would not cause the aggregate assessment rates for countries in level A over the scale period to exceed their aggregate effective rates as contained in annex III to the report of the Secretary-General on the implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236 (A/67/224). See A/C.5/67/SR.22 and A/67/PV.62.

# IV. Conclusions

19. The General Assembly may wish to take note of the present report and decide on the structure of levels of contribution for peacekeeping operations and the composition for the period 2016-2018.

# Annex I

## Peacekeeping levels, based on average per capita gross national income of Member States and other factors

| *Level* | *Criterion* | *Threshold in United States dollars (2016-2018)* | *Discount (percentage)* |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | Permanent members of the Security Council | Not applicable | Premium |
| B | All Member States, except those covered below and level A | Not applicable | 0 |
| C | As listed in the annex to General Assembly resolution 55/235 | Not applicable | 7.5 |
| D | Member States with per capita GNI less than 2 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors) | Under 19,722 | 20 |
| E | Member States with per capita GNI less than 1.8 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors) | Under 17,750 | 40 |
| F | Member States with per capita GNI less than 1.6 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors) | Under 15,778 | 60 |
| G | Member States with per capita GNI less than 1.4 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors) | Under 13,805 | 70 |
| H | Member States with per capita GNI less than 1.2 times the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors) | Under 11,833 | 80 (or 70 on a voluntary basis)[a] |
| I | Member States with per capita GNI less than the average for all Member States (except level A, C and J contributors) | Under 9,861 | 80 |
| J | Least developed countries (except level A and C contributors) | Not applicable | 90 |

[a] Member States in level H* have a discount of 70 per cent.

# Annex II

## Implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236, 2016-2018

| *Member State* | *Level in 2015* | *Voluntary level during 2015 if different* | *Level in 2016-2018 based on data for 2008-2013* | *Assumed voluntary level in 2016-2018 if different* | *Percentage of regular budget rates payable* | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | *2016* | *2017* | *2018* |
| Afghanistan | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Albania | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Algeria | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Andorra | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Angola | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | F | | G | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Argentina | I | | G[c] | | 25 | 30 | 30 |
| Armenia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Australia | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Azerbaijan | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Bahamas | B[a] | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Bahrain | B[a] | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Bangladesh | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Barbados | F | | F | | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Belarus | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Belgium | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Belize | i | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Benin | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Bhutan | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Botswana | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Brazil | I | | H | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Brunei Darussalam | C | | C | | 92.5 | 92.5 | 92.5 |
| Bulgaria | I | H*[b] | I | H*[b] | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Burkina Faso | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Burundi | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Cabo Verde | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Cambodia | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Cameroon | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Canada | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Central African Republic | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Chad | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |

| Member State | Level in 2015 | Voluntary level during 2015 if different | Level in 2016-2018 based on data for 2008-2013 | Assumed voluntary level in 2016-2018 if different | Percentage of regular budget rates payable | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Chile | H | | G | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| China | A | | A | | 100+ | 100+ | 100+ |
| Colombia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Comoros | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Congo | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Costa Rica | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Croatia | F | | G | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Cuba | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Cyprus | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | D | | D | | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Democratic People's Republic of Korea | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Democratic Republic of the Congo | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Denmark | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Djibouti | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Dominica | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Dominican Republic | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Ecuador | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Egypt | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| El Salvador | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Equatorial Guinea | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Eritrea | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Estonia | E | B | E | B | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ethiopia | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Fiji | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Finland | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| France | A | | A | | 100+ | 100+ | 100+ |
| Gabon | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Gambia | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Georgia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Germany | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ghana | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Greece | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Grenada | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Guatemala | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Guinea | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Guinea-Bissau | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Guyana | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |

| Member State | Level in 2015 | Voluntary level during 2015 if different | Level in 2016-2018 based on data for 2008-2013 | Assumed voluntary level in 2016-2018 if different | Percentage of regular budget rates payable | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Haiti | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Honduras | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Hungary | F | | G | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Iceland | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| India | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Indonesia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Iran (Islamic Republic of) | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Iraq | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Ireland | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Israel | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Jamaica | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Japan | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Jordan | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Kazakhstan | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Kenya | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Kiribati | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Kuwait | C | | C | | 92.5 | 92.5 | 92.5 |
| Kyrgyzstan | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Lao People's Democratic Republic | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Latvia | G | | F | | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Lebanon | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Lesotho | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Liberia | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Libya | G | | G | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Liechtenstein | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | G | | G | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Luxembourg | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Madagascar | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Malawi | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Malaysia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Maldives | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Mali | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Malta | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Marshall Islands | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Mauritania | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Mauritius | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Mexico | H | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |

| Member State | Level in 2015 | Voluntary level during 2015 if different | Level in 2016-2018 based on data for 2008-2013 | Assumed voluntary level in 2016-2018 if different | Percentage of regular budget rates payable | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Micronesia (Federated States of) | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Monaco | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Mongolia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Montenegro | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Morocco | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Mozambique | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Myanmar | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Namibia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Nauru | I | | H | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Nepal | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Netherlands | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| New Zealand | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Nicaragua | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Niger | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Nigeria | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Norway | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Oman | B[c] | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Pakistan | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Palau | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Panama | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Papua New Guinea | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Paraguay | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Peru | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Philippines | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Poland | G | | G | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Portugal | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Qatar | C | | C | | 92.5 | 92.5 | 92.5 |
| Republic of Korea | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Republic of Moldova | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Romania | I | H*[b] | I | H*[b] | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Russian Federation | A | | A | | 100+ | 100+ | 100+ |
| Rwanda | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | F | | G | | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Saint Lucia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Samoa | J | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| San Marino | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sao Tome and Principe | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |

| Member State | Level in 2015 | Voluntary level during 2015 if different | Level in 2016-2018 based on data for 2008-2013 | Assumed voluntary level in 2016-2018 if different | Percentage of regular budget rates payable | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Saudi Arabia | E | | B[d] | | 73.33 | 86.66 | 100 |
| Senegal | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Serbia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Seychelles | G | | H | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Sierra Leone | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Singapore | C | | C | | 92.5 | 92.5 | 92.5 |
| Slovakia | E | | E | | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Slovenia | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Solomon Islands | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Somalia | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| South Africa | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| South Sudan | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Spain | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sri Lanka | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Sudan | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Suriname | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Swaziland | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Sweden | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Switzerland | B | | B | | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Syrian Arab Republic | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Tajikistan | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Thailand | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Timor-Leste | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Togo | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Tonga | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | E | | F | | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Tunisia | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Turkey | H | | H | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Turkmenistan | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Tuvalu | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Uganda | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Ukraine | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| United Arab Emirates | C | | C | | 92.5 | 92.5 | 92.5 |
| United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | A | | A | | 100+ | 100+ | 100+ |
| United Republic of Tanzania | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| United States of America | A | | A | | 100+ | 100+ | 100+ |

| *Member State* | *Level in 2015* | *Voluntary level during 2015 if different* | *Level in 2016-2018 based on data for 2008-2013* | *Assumed voluntary level in 2016-2018 if different* | *Percentage of regular budget rates payable* | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | *2016* | *2017* | *2018* |
| Uruguay | I | | G[c] | | 25 | 30 | 30 |
| Uzbekistan | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Vanuatu | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | H | | H | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Viet Nam | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Yemen | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Zambia | J | | J | | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Zimbabwe | I | | I | | 20 | 20 | 20 |

[a] At the time of the adoption of General Assembly resolution 67/239, the Chair of the Fifth Committee and the President of the General Assembly stated that it was the understanding of the Assembly that, on an exceptional basis for the 2013-2015 scale period only, three countries in level B, the Bahamas, Bahrain and Oman, would be afforded discounts of 7.5 per cent to their assessment rates, that Oman would forgo its transition period and that those discounts would not cause the aggregate assessment rates for countries in level A over the scale period to exceed their aggregate effective rates as contained in annex III to the report of the Secretary-General on the implementation of General Assembly resolutions 55/235 and 55/236 (A/67/224). See A/C.5/67/SR.22 and A/67/PV.62.

[b] Member States that moved voluntarily up to level H paid at 30 per cent of their regular budget, and it is assumed that this will continue.

[c] Two-year phasing for Member States moving up.

[d] Three-year phasing for Member States moving up.

# Annex III

## Effective rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations, 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2018, based on the results of the application of the methodology used in preparing the scale of assessments for the period 2013-2015 to gross national income data for the period 2008-2013[a]

| *Member State* | *Effective rate in 2015* | *Regular budget 2016-2018* | *Effective rates* | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | *2016* | *2017* | *2018* |
| **Level A** | | | | | |
| China | 6.6368 | 7.921 | 10.2855 | 10.2478 | 10.2192 |
| France | 7.2105 | 4.859 | 6.3094 | 6.2863 | 6.2688 |
| Russian Federation | 3.1431 | 3.088 | 4.0098 | 3.9951 | 3.9839 |
| United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | 6.6768 | 4.463 | 5.7952 | 5.7740 | 5.7579 |
| United States of America | 28.3626 | 22.000 | 28.5671 | 28.4625 | 28.3830 |
| **Total A** | **52.0299** | **42.331** | **54.9670** | **54.7657** | **54.6129** |
| **Level B** | | | | | |
| Andorra | 0.0080 | 0.006 | 0.0060 | 0.0060 | 0.0060 |
| Australia | 2.0740 | 2.337 | 2.3370 | 2.3370 | 2.3370 |
| Austria | 0.7980 | 0.720 | 0.7200 | 0.7200 | 0.7200 |
| Bahamas | 0.0157 | 0.014 | 0.0140 | 0.0140 | 0.0140 |
| Bahrain | 0.0361 | 0.044 | 0.0440 | 0.0440 | 0.0440 |
| Belgium | 0.9980 | 0.885 | 0.8850 | 0.8850 | 0.8850 |
| Canada | 2.9840 | 2.921 | 2.9210 | 2.9210 | 2.9210 |
| Cyprus | 0.0470 | 0.043 | 0.0430 | 0.0430 | 0.0430 |
| Denmark | 0.6750 | 0.584 | 0.5840 | 0.5840 | 0.5840 |
| Estonia | 0.0400 | 0.038 | 0.0380 | 0.0380 | 0.0380 |
| Finland | 0.5190 | 0.456 | 0.4560 | 0.4560 | 0.4560 |
| Germany | 7.1410 | 6.389 | 6.3890 | 6.3890 | 6.3890 |
| Greece | 0.6380 | 0.471 | 0.4710 | 0.4710 | 0.4710 |
| Iceland | 0.0270 | 0.023 | 0.0230 | 0.0230 | 0.0230 |
| Ireland | 0.4180 | 0.335 | 0.3350 | 0.3350 | 0.3350 |
| Israel | 0.3960 | 0.430 | 0.4300 | 0.4300 | 0.4300 |
| Italy | 4.4480 | 3.748 | 3.7480 | 3.7480 | 3.7480 |
| Japan | 10.8330 | 9.680 | 9.6800 | 9.6800 | 9.6800 |
| Liechtenstein | 0.0090 | 0.007 | 0.0070 | 0.0070 | 0.0070 |
| Luxembourg | 0.0810 | 0.064 | 0.0640 | 0.0640 | 0.0640 |
| Malta | 0.0160 | 0.016 | 0.0160 | 0.0160 | 0.0160 |
| Monaco | 0.0120 | 0.010 | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | 0.0100 |

| *Member State* | *Effective rate in 2015* | *Regular budget 2016-2018* | *Effective rates* | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | *2016* | *2017* | *2018* |
| Netherlands | 1.6540 | 1.482 | 1.4820 | 1.4820 | 1.4820 |
| New Zealand | 0.2530 | 0.268 | 0.2680 | 0.2680 | 0.2680 |
| Norway | 0.8510 | 0.849 | 0.8490 | 0.8490 | 0.8490 |
| Oman | 0.0944 | 0.113 | 0.1130 | 0.1130 | 0.1130 |
| Portugal | 0.4740 | 0.392 | 0.3920 | 0.3920 | 0.3920 |
| Republic of Korea | 1.9940 | 2.039 | 2.0390 | 2.0390 | 2.0390 |
| San Marino | 0.0030 | 0.003 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 | 0.0030 |
| Slovenia | 0.1000 | 0.084 | 0.0840 | 0.0840 | 0.0840 |
| Spain | 2.9730 | 2.443 | 2.4430 | 2.4430 | 2.4430 |
| Sweden | 0.9600 | 0.956 | 0.9560 | 0.9560 | 0.9560 |
| Switzerland | 1.0470 | 1.140 | 1.1400 | 1.1400 | 1.1400 |
| **Total B** | **42.6172** | **38.990** | **38.9900** | **38.9900** | **38.9900** |
| **Transition to B** | | | | | |
| Saudi Arabia | 0.5184 | 1.146 | 0.8404 | 0.9932 | 1.1460 |
| **Total Transition to B** | **0.5184** | **1.146** | **0.8404** | **0.9932** | **1.1460** |
| **Level C** | | | | | |
| Brunei Darussalam | 0.0241 | 0.029 | 0.0268 | 0.0268 | 0.0268 |
| Kuwait | 0.2525 | 0.285 | 0.2636 | 0.2636 | 0.2636 |
| Qatar | 0.1933 | 0.269 | 0.2488 | 0.2488 | 0.2488 |
| Singapore | 0.3552 | 0.447 | 0.4135 | 0.4135 | 0.4135 |
| United Arab Emirates | 0.5504 | 0.604 | 0.5587 | 0.5587 | 0.5587 |
| **Total C** | **1.3755** | **1.634** | **1.5115** | **1.5115** | **1.5115** |
| **Level D** | | | | | |
| Czech Republic | 0.3088 | 0.344 | 0.2752 | 0.2752 | 0.2752 |
| **Total D** | **0.3088** | **0.344** | **0.2752** | **0.2752** | **0.2752** |
| **Level E** | | | | | |
| Slovakia | 0.1026 | 0.160 | 0.0960 | 0.0960 | 0.0960 |
| **Total E** | **0.1026** | **0.160** | **0.0960** | **0.0960** | **0.0960** |
| **Level F** | | | | | |
| Barbados | 0.0032 | 0.007 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 |
| Latvia | 0.0141 | 0.050 | 0.0200 | 0.0200 | 0.0200 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 0.0264 | 0.034 | 0.0136 | 0.0136 | 0.0136 |
| **Total F** | **0.0437** | **0.091** | **0.0364** | **0.0364** | **0.0364** |

| Member State | Effective rate in 2015 | Regular budget 2016-2018 | Effective rates | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| **Level G** | | | | | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 0.0008 | 0.002 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 |
| Chile | 0.0668 | 0.399 | 0.1197 | 0.1197 | 0.1197 |
| Croatia | 0.0504 | 0.099 | 0.0297 | 0.0297 | 0.0297 |
| Hungary | 0.1064 | 0.161 | 0.0483 | 0.0483 | 0.0483 |
| Libya | 0.0426 | 0.125 | 0.0375 | 0.0375 | 0.0375 |
| Lithuania | 0.0219 | 0.072 | 0.0216 | 0.0216 | 0.0216 |
| Poland | 0.2763 | 0.841 | 0.2523 | 0.2523 | 0.2523 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 0.0004 | 0.001 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| **Total G** | **0.5656** | **1.700** | **0.5100** | **0.5100** | **0.5100** |
| **Transition to G** | | | | | |
| Argentina | 0.0864 | 0.892 | 0.2230 | 0.2676 | 0.2676 |
| Uruguay | 0.0104 | 0.079 | 0.0198 | 0.0237 | 0.0237 |
| **Total Transition to G** | **0.0968** | **0.9710** | **0.2428** | **0.2913** | **0.2913** |
| **Level H*** | | | | | |
| Bulgaria | 0.0141 | 0.045 | 0.0135 | 0.0135 | 0.0135 |
| Romania | 0.0678 | 0.184 | 0.0552 | 0.0552 | 0.0552 |
| **Total H*** | **0.0819** | **0.229** | **0.0687** | **0.0687** | **0.0687** |
| **Level H** | | | | | |
| Brazil | 0.5868 | 3.823 | 0.7646 | 0.7646 | 0.7646 |
| Nauru | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Seychelles | 0.0003 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Turkey | 0.2656 | 1.018 | 0.2036 | 0.2036 | 0.2036 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 0.1254 | 0.571 | 0.1142 | 0.1142 | 0.1142 |
| **Total H** | **0.9783** | **5.414** | **1.0828** | **1.0828** | **1.0828** |
| **Level I** | | | | | |
| Albania | 0.0020 | 0.008 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 |
| Algeria | 0.0274 | 0.161 | 0.0322 | 0.0322 | 0.0322 |
| Armenia | 0.0014 | 0.006 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 |
| Azerbaijan | 0.0080 | 0.060 | 0.0120 | 0.0120 | 0.0120 |
| Belarus | 0.0112 | 0.056 | 0.0112 | 0.0112 | 0.0112 |
| Belize | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 0.0018 | 0.012 | 0.0024 | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 0.0034 | 0.013 | 0.0026 | 0.0026 | 0.0026 |
| Botswana | 0.0034 | 0.014 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 |
| Cabo Verde | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |

| *Member State* | *Effective rate in 2015* | *Regular budget 2016-2018* | *Effective rates* | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | *2016* | *2017* | *2018* |
| Cameroon | 0.0024 | 0.010 | 0.0020 | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| Colombia | 0.0518 | 0.322 | 0.0644 | 0.0644 | 0.0644 |
| Congo | 0.0010 | 0.006 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 |
| Costa Rica | 0.0076 | 0.047 | 0.0094 | 0.0094 | 0.0094 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | 0.0022 | 0.009 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 |
| Cuba | 0.0138 | 0.065 | 0.0130 | 0.0130 | 0.0130 |
| Democratic People's Republic of Korea | 0.0012 | 0.005 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Dominica | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Dominican Republic | 0.0090 | 0.046 | 0.0092 | 0.0092 | 0.0092 |
| Ecuador | 0.0088 | 0.067 | 0.0134 | 0.0134 | 0.0134 |
| Egypt | 0.0268 | 0.152 | 0.0304 | 0.0304 | 0.0304 |
| El Salvador | 0.0032 | 0.014 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 |
| Fiji | 0.0006 | 0.003 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 |
| Gabon | 0.0040 | 0.017 | 0.0034 | 0.0034 | 0.0034 |
| Georgia | 0.0014 | 0.008 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 |
| Ghana | 0.0028 | 0.016 | 0.0032 | 0.0032 | 0.0032 |
| Grenada | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Guatemala | 0.0054 | 0.028 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 |
| Guyana | 0.0002 | 0.002 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| Honduras | 0.0016 | 0.008 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 | 0.0016 |
| India | 0.1332 | 0.737 | 0.1474 | 0.1474 | 0.1474 |
| Indonesia | 0.0692 | 0.504 | 0.1008 | 0.1008 | 0.1008 |
| Iran (Islamic Republic of) | 0.0712 | 0.471 | 0.0942 | 0 0942 | 0.0942 |
| Iraq | 0.0136 | 0.129 | 0.0258 | 0.0258 | 0.0258 |
| Jamaica | 0.0022 | 0.009 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 | 0.0018 |
| Jordan | 0.0044 | 0.020 | 0.0040 | 0.0040 | 0.0040 |
| Kazakhstan | 0.0242 | 0.191 | 0.0382 | 0.0382 | 0.0382 |
| Kenya | 0.0026 | 0.018 | 0.0036 | 0.0036 | 0.0036 |
| Kyrgyzstan | 0.0004 | 0.002 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| Lebanon | 0.0084 | 0.046 | 0.0092 | 0.0092 | 0.0092 |
| Malaysia | 0.0562 | 0.322 | 0.0644 | 0.0644 | 0.0644 |
| Maldives | 0.0002 | 0.002 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| Marshall Islands | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Mauritius | 0.0026 | 0.012 | 0.0024 | 0.0024 | 0.0024 |
| Mexico | 0.3684 | 1.435 | 0.2870 | 0.2870 | 0.2870 |
| Micronesia (Federated States of) | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Mongolia | 0.0006 | 0.005 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Montenegro | 0.0010 | 0.004 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| Morocco | 0.0124 | 0.054 | 0.0108 | 0.0108 | 0.0108 |

| Member State | Effective rate in 2015 | Regular budget 2016-2018 | Effective rates | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Namibia | 0.0020 | 0.010 | 0.0020 | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| Nicaragua | 0.0006 | 0.004 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| Nigeria | 0.0180 | 0.209 | 0.0418 | 0.0418 | 0.0418 |
| Pakistan | 0.0170 | 0.093 | 0.0186 | 0.0186 | 0.0186 |
| Palau | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Panama | 0.0052 | 0.034 | 0.0068 | 0.0068 | 0.0068 |
| Papua New Guinea | 0.0008 | 0.004 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| Paraguay | 0.0020 | 0.014 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 | 0.0028 |
| Peru | 0.0234 | 0.136 | 0.0272 | 0.0272 | 0.0272 |
| Philippines | 0.0308 | 0.165 | 0.0330 | 0.0330 | 0.0330 |
| Republic of Moldova | 0.0006 | 0.004 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| Saint Lucia | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Samoa | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Serbia | 0.0080 | 0.032 | 0.0064 | 0.0064 | 0.0064 |
| South Africa | 0.0744 | 0.364 | 0.0728 | 0.0728 | 0.0728 |
| Sri Lanka | 0.0050 | 0.031 | 0.0062 | 0.0062 | 0.0062 |
| Suriname | 0.0008 | 0.006 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 | 0.0012 |
| Swaziland | 0.0006 | 0.002 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| Syrian Arab Republic | 0.0072 | 0.024 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 |
| Tajikistan | 0.0006 | 0.004 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| Thailand | 0.0478 | 0.291 | 0.0582 | 0.0582 | 0.0582 |
| The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | 0.0016 | 0.007 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 | 0.0014 |
| Tonga | 0.0002 | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Tunisia | 0.0072 | 0.028 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 |
| Turkmenistan | 0.0038 | 0.026 | 0.0052 | 0.0052 | 0.0052 |
| Ukraine | 0.0198 | 0.103 | 0.0206 | 0.0206 | 0.0206 |
| Uzbekistan | 0.0030 | 0.023 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 |
| Viet Nam | 0.0084 | 0.058 | 0.0116 | 0.0116 | 0.0116 |
| Zimbabwe | 0.0004 | 0.004 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| **Total I** | **1.2643** | **6.803** | **1.3606** | **1.3606** | **1.3606** |
| **Level J** | | | | | |
| Afghanistan | 0.0005 | 0.006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 |
| Angola | 0.0010 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Bangladesh | 0.0010 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Benin | 0.0003 | 0.003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| Bhutan | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Burkina Faso | 0.0003 | 0.004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |

| Member State | Effective rate in 2015 | Regular budget 2016-2018 | Effective rates | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Burundi | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Cambodia | 0.0004 | 0.004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| Central African Republic | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Chad | 0.0002 | 0.005 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |
| Comoros | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Democratic Republic of the Congo | 0.0003 | 0.008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 | 0.0008 |
| Djibouti | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Equatorial Guinea | 0.0010 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Eritrea | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Ethiopia | 0.0010 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Gambia | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Guinea | 0.0001 | 0.002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Guinea-Bissau | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Haiti | 0.0003 | 0.003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| Kiribati | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Lao People's Democratic Republic | 0.0002 | 0.003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| Lesotho | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Liberia | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Madagascar | 0.0003 | 0.003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| Malawi | 0.0002 | 0.002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Mali | 0.0004 | 0.003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| Mauritania | 0.0002 | 0.002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Mozambique | 0.0003 | 0.004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| Myanmar | 0.0010 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Nepal | 0.0006 | 0.006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 |
| Niger | 0.0002 | 0.002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Rwanda | 0.0002 | 0.002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| Sao Tome and Principe | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Senegal | 0.0006 | 0.005 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 |
| Sierra Leone | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Solomon Islands | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Somalia | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| South Sudan | 0.0004 | 0.003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| Sudan | 0.0010 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Timor-Leste | 0.0002 | 0.003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| Togo | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Tuvalu | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Uganda | 0.0006 | 0.009 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 | 0.0009 |
| United Republic of Tanzania | 0.0009 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |

| *Member State* | *Effective rate in 2015* | *Regular budget 2016-2018* | *Effective rates* | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | *2016* | *2017* | *2018* |
| Vanuatu | 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Yemen | 0.0010 | 0.010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 | 0.0010 |
| Zambia | 0.0006 | 0.007 | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 0.0007 |
| **Total J** | **0.0171** | **0.187** | **0.0187** | **0.0187** | **0.0187** |
| **Grand total** | **100.0000** | **100.000** | **100.0000** | **100.0000** | **100.0000** |

*Note*: The effective rates of assessment for peacekeeping operations shown above are calculated according to the system of adjustments adopted in General Assembly resolution 55/235 (see annex I) and are displayed at 4 decimal places.

[a] Included in the report of the Committee on Contributions (A/70/11, para. 98) for information.